the date on which the 1967 Rules have come into force. The Police Prosecutors of the erstwhile Bombay Karnatak area re-designated as Assistant Public Prosecutors were paid Rs. 50 p.m. till 31st March 1967.

(*d*) The question of continuance of the office-*cum*-conveyance allowance of Rs. 50 p.m. to the Assistant Public Prosecutors working in the Bombay Karnatak area from 1st April 1967 is under consideration of Government.

Allotment of seat to Smt. Sheela Irani

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ. (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ನಾಮಿನೇಟೆಡ್ ಸದಸ್ಯೆಯವರು ಅಂದರೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ನಾಮಕರಣ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲಾ ಅವರು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ಸೇರಿದರು ? ಇವರನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸೀಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸೀಟನ್ನು ಅಲಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihara).—Sir, the predecessor was sitting on this side. Let her sit on this side.

Notice for raising Two and Half-an-Hour Discussion

re : Boundary pillars erected in Mysore by A. P. Government

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR. (Tumkur).—Sir, under rule 59 I want to raise discussion on matter of urgent public importance with respect to a large chunk of land, three miles in width being occupied by the Government of Andhra and they have been putting up pillars. This is a very grave matter. They have occupied nearly 3 miles of land in Raichur Taluk. A village belonging to Mysore has also been encroached upon and this has appeared in Indian Express. This is a very important matter and I request the Chair to kindly allot some time for the discussion of this important matter.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅದನ್ನು ನನಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ನಾನು ನೋಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—I request the Government to bestow attention immediately on the matter and it can be taken up.

Papers laid on the Table

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Minister for Public Works).—Sir, yesterday during question hour, I promised to lay some information on the Table of the House. This relates to starred question No. 884. I am placing it on the Table.*

* Copy placed in the Mysore Legislature Library.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihar).—May I know whether it is not a fact that the Hon. Minister was pleased to assure us that he would furnish the information tomorrow. I want him to read it.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—It is a printed matter and I will lay it on the Table.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The Statement may be taken as read.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—If the Minister does not want to read it, as one who put that supplementary, I will take it as read as said by the Chair.

Sir, I rise to a point of order, This raises a very important and fundamental issue. As we know it is the duty of the treasury benches without concealing anything, they will have to furnish all the available information whenever the Houses require that information, except in cases where they can say that it is not in public interest to disclose it. If the Minister claims that privilege that it is not in public interest to disclose it, I am not going to ask. Otherwise. we are entitled to know all the information. I cannot understand this hide and seek policy.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, Government is not playing any hide and seek policy. Yesterday, the Hon. Minister promised the House that he will be able to furnish the information. I just want to ask the hon. Member Sri Siddaveerappa, how there is a point of order in this connection ? Furnishing information during question-hour is giving information orally; furnishing information on matters which are not included in the agenda, is only a question of placing it on the Table of the House. Does the hon. Member contend that placing of certain information on the Table is not furnishing information to the House? It satisfies all the requirements. If the Government places any information on the Table of the House, that means that the Government have furnished information to the House.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In that case, all the replies to Questions may be placed on the Table of the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ:—ಈ ಸಭೆಯವರ ತೃಪ್ತಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದರೆ ಓದಿ ಹೇಳೋಣ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಅವರು ಇದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಟೇಬಲ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಇಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಈ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಅನ್ನು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Among these five partners, one is the son of Sri S. Nijalingappa and another is his nephew and the contracts they have taken are about 19 in number. That is the reason why we want him to read.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ಬಣಕಾರ.—ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದರೆ ಓದಿ ಹೇಳೋಣ ಎಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಹಾಗೆಂದರೆ ಅದರ ಅರ್ಥವೇನು ? ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾದ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದು ಅವರ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಬಣಕಾರರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಠ ಕಲಿತು ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಣಕಾರರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆದು ಏನು ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ನನ್ನ ಮಾತಿನಮೇಲೆ ಟೀಕೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು. ನಾನು ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೆ ಎಂದರೆ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ನಮಾಚಾರ ವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಚೆನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಕ್ಷಮಿಸಬೇಕು. ನಾಳೆ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Sri H. N. NANJE GOWDA.—I rise to a point of order, Sir....

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I am on a point of order.

Sri K. N. NANJE GOWDA.—Why should he claim his point of order ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್. —ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಎತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ, ಶ್ರೀ ನಂಜೇಗೌಡರು ಎದ್ದು ನಿಂತಮೇಲೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪನವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅವರು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ವಕಾಲತ್ತು ವಹಿಸ ಬೇಕು ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I have permitted the Hon. Minister.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಎತ್ತಿದ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪ ಎತ್ತುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಇಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಟೀಕೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನಿಜವಾಗಿಯೂ ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲೇ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದಂಥ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಎತ್ತಿದರು. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ವಿಚಾರ ಏನೆಂದರೆ, ರೋಕೋಪಮೋಗಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನೆನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಸಪ್ಲೈ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡ ದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನಮಾಚಾರವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು ಎಂದೆ.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಜೆಂಡಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಡ್ಡಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ವಿಚಾರ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಆಜೆಂಡಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದರೆ ನಾನು ಮಾತನಾಡುವ ಆವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

* * * * *

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನೆನ್ನೆದಿವಸ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆ ಕಂಪನಿಯಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ನರ್‌ಗಳು ಯಾರು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನಾಳೆ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆಗ ನಾವು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಲ್ಡ್ ಓವರ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ನಾಳೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡೆವು. ಈಗ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಪ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ತಾವು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಈ ಕಂಪನಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಒಂದೂವರೆಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಕಂಪನಿಯ ವರಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಿರತಕ್ಕ 22 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಜುರ್ಮಾನೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ವಸೂಲ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದು ನಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯವೆಂದರೆ.—It is ascertained that recently one more work, construction of a bridge across Vedavathi costing Rs. 11.4 lakhs has been entrusted to this firm, a firm which has been fined by the Government. ಫೈನ್ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಮತ್ತೆ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಹನ್ನೊಂದೂವರೆ ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಸಪ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಮಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

---

* * Matter not recorded as directed by the Chair.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I am seeking your ruling on the point of order raised. No ruling is given to the point of order raised by me. The point of order was whether the treasury bench can disclose information that we want or conceal the information and simply say that they are placing it on the Table. As far as my knowledge goes, they cannot conceal any information unless it be in the public interest not to disclose. In other respects they are bound to disclose information. I have been saying this because this firm has ruined many of the works for instance Tungabhadra River Bridge in Harihar which is still not completed. I cannot understand how they can wink at it.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Malleswaram).—On a point of order. The Hon. Minster Sri K. Lakkappa was pleased to say and it was defended by the Hon. Minister Sri K. Puttaswamy that it is sufficient if information is placed on the Table and there is no necessity to read it. Rule 41 of the Rules of Procedure deals with supplementary questions. It says :

> "No discussion shall be permitted during the time for questions under rule 32 in respect of any question or of any answer given to a question."

This is put down in the List of Business today and questions have to be put by us. Under rule 44(2) we must have a right to put a supplementary question.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Which question is it ?

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—It is Question 624 (571). Now, the position is, we must have a right under rule 44 (2) to put supplementary questions. You will please read rule 44 (3). It says:

> "If a Minister to whom a supplementary question is addressed states that he is unable to answer it without notice the supplementary question may be put only in the form of a fresh question at a subsequent session of the Assembly."

According to the arrangement made here and the discussions held, the Hon. Speaker has permitted us to put the supplementaries on that question today. Under those circumstances, I must have an opportunity to ask supplementaries. I shall be in a position to put further supplimentaries when I know the entire details. So, it is incumbent on the Government to answer the supplementaries we put today. I want to know the names of the persons to whom contract has been given if there are several contractors. If it is held by the Chair that that information is confidential, then we are barred from asking it. This information cannot be treated as confidential. We must know whether contractors have made money without completing the work. It does not matter who the persons or contractors or partners are. One of them may be the son or son-in-law of Sri Nijalingappa. Even in respect of that person, it is incumbent on the part of the Minister to

answer the questions put. I request you to give a ruling that the Government must place the list of partners on the Table and read it out.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— Sri Krishnan was not here yesterday. The question was put and answered. In the meanwhile Sri Sivappa requested the Chair to hold over the question. But the Chair stated that as the question was already answered, it could not be held over.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ತಾವು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಬಾರದು.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR (Aland).—It is a held over question. It may be answered tomorrow.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Chief Minister).— I would like to suggest one thing in this connection. As to what has transpired while replying to the supplementary questions put by the hon. Members on the other side, you may kindly look into the records. If any assurance is held out by the Chair that this question should be treated as held over question and that further supplementaries will be allowed after information, if any, as promised by the Minister for P. W. D., is furnished to this House by the Minister, the Hon. Chair may put this question for tomorrow. So far as my memory goes, I do not think any such assurance is given. Some request was made by the Leader of the Opposition but it appears that was not conceded to, by the Chair. If the other side feels that it was conceded, let it be verified from the records. So my request to the Chair is that the records may be looked into first to see whether any assurance is given by the Chair.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—My contention is, when the Hon. Minister in charge of P. W. D. has given further information today on a Question which was answered yesterday and supplemented his answers of yesterday, it is but right that we have put to some more supplementaries on the information which has been supplied today. I stress that we have a right to put some supplementaries and elicit some more information.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will look into the records. If there is any such assurance, this Question will be taken up tomorrow.

Statement of the Chief Minister :

re : Boundary Pillars erected in Mysore Boundary by A. P. Government

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ರೂಲ್ 63ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಾನು ಈಚೆಗೆ ಆಂಧ್ರದವರು ನಮ್ಮ ಗಡಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂದರೆ ರಾಯಚೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಮೈಲಿ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಅಕ್ರಮಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಲಂಕಷವಾಗಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಲು ತಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡೂವರೆ ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.